





Influencing decision makers	
Inclusivity works economically – let's consider UG recruitment Computer science not as buoyant as it used to be. (Life used to be very easy.) There is very significant pool of female talent suited to doing CS who don't	
end up doing CS (here or elsewhere). It's a competitive market and we needed to place ourselves at the front. Revamped our whole approach to this (and all materials).	
 Stress the economics case! Promote visibility and recognition: Are there any women here? 	
 Yes, and many of our female undergraduates are happy to assist at open days and interview days. Yes, and it helps if a senior female academic gives talks at open days about 	
the very latest CS related work (e.g. quantum computing or complexity). Yes. Invited lectures, e.g. "Did twitter save Bletchley Park?" – Sue Black, and "Your glittering career in IT" – Gillian Arnold.	
 Yes. Encourage staff to gain appropriate recognition for their achievements (1 prestigious research chair and 1 fellowship) 	
4	<u> </u>
Things to do	
Do the profiling: You can't deny the figures (and there may be many sources) The benchmarking/profiling process was most valuable (all manner of things from UG/PG/Degree classes, Highlights where problems are: UG numbers poor – but now certainly improving.	
 PhD – actually fairly average for CS. PhD to RA – awful Achievement of women academics when here – rather good – all are influential figures, including two prestigious fellowships, and the head of our most important research 	
development in recent times, and arguably ever. Number of women who make it to interview stage for lectureships and RA posts – truly awful. (And we have no women at lecturer level.) Data is a lever for change:	
I value your opinion, of course, but What do you make of my data? Benchmark. Athena Swan starts with a great idea for entry level award (Bronze) — it rewards/recognizes Departments that recognize they have a problem.	
5	
THE UNIVERSITY of York Things to Do	
Take an interest in performance reviewing (and careers more generally) We were concerned about the quality of RA performance reviewing.	
 We changed the format based largely on feedback from RAs. These are all now reviewed by DHoD-Research, with the intention of intervening if adequate career guidance is not apparent. 	
Clear needed for mentoring and advice. (Further actions planned here.) Training	
 Set about creating a training programme for RAs. "Advancing in academia" sessions, how promotion is gained and what you need to do. 	
 Proposal writing module geared to RAs. Also now have much better mechanisms for recognition of contributions to proposals. 	
 Consult! Perceived need for a "networking" module. Talking to the centre about this. 	

Rapid fire on-line surveys. These were VERY effective.